

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

TRANQUILINO VILLANEUVA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

**GOLDEN FARM CORP., and KI R.
CHUNG, individually,**

Defendants.

COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

Jury Trial Demanded

Plaintiff TRANQUILINO VILLANEUVA (“Plaintiff” or “Villaneuva”), by and through his attorneys, upon personal knowledge as to himself and upon information and belief as to other matters, brings this Collective Action Complaint against Defendants GOLDEN FARM CORP., (“Golden Farm” or “corporate Defendant”), KI R. CHUNG (“Chung”), individually, (collectively, “Defendants”), and alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff brings this lawsuit seeking recovery against Defendants for Defendants’ violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended (the “FLSA” or the “Act”), 29 U.S.C. §201 *et. seq.* and the New Jersey State Wage and Hour Law, N.J.S.A. 34:11-56a *et seq.* (“NJWHL”).
2. Plaintiff brings this lawsuit against Defendants as a collective action on behalf of himself and all other persons similarly situated – grocery store employees - who suffered damages for damages as a result of Defendants’ violations of the FLSA pursuant to the collective action provisions of 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's FLSA claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and by 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's NJWHL claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332 and 1367.
5. Venue is proper in the District of New Jersey pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district.
6. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff performed non-exempt stocking duties for the Defendants in Newark, Essex County, New Jersey. Defendants are therefore within the jurisdiction and venue of this Court.
7. At all times pertinent to this Complaint, the corporate Defendant, Golden Farm, was and is an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce or in the production of interstate goods for commerce as defined by the Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 203(r) and 203(s). Defendants operate a retail grocery store, buying and selling products that move through interstate commerce. Alternatively, Plaintiff and those similarly situated employees worked in interstate commerce, i.e., using the tools and products which moved through interstate channels so as to produce an end product for Defendants' consumers. Thus, Plaintiff and those similarly situated employees fall within the protections of the Act.

PARTIES

8. Plaintiff Villaneuva is an adult individual who is a resident of Newark, Essex County, New Jersey.
9. Plaintiff Villaneuva was employed by Defendants full time as a non-exempt laborer, from in or about July, 2008, through in or about May 15, 2018.

10. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant to this Complaint, the Defendants employ individuals to perform labor services on behalf of the Defendants.
11. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant to this Complaint, the Defendants' annual gross volume of sales made or business done was not less than \$500,000.00.
12. At all times relevant to this Complaint, the corporate Defendant is and was an employer engaged in commerce under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.*]
13. Upon information and belief, Defendant Chung, is a New Jersey state resident.
14. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant to this Complaint, individual Defendant Chung has been an owner, partner, officer and/or manager of the Defendant Golden Farm.
15. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant to this Complaint, individual Defendant Chung has had power over personnel decisions at the Defendants' business, including the management of day to day operations, control over employee pay practices and the power to change same, and the power to hire and fire employees, set their wages, and otherwise control the terms of their employment.

FACTS

16. Based upon the information preliminarily available, and subject to discovery in this cause, from in or about 2008, through in or about May 15, 2018, Defendants did not properly compensate Plaintiff for all overtime hours he worked in a work week.
17. During the relevant time period, Plaintiff Villaneuva was paid approximately \$785.00 per workweek.
18. During the relevant time period, Plaintiff Andino routinely worked six (6) days per week.

19. During the relevant time period, Plaintiff Villaneuva regularly worked approximately sixty-six (66) hours per week.
20. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff was not paid one and one half times his regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) in each work week.
21. Upon information and belief, employees similarly situated to Plaintiff were also improperly compensated for their overtime hours worked in a work week, similarly to Plaintiff.
22. Defendants have engaged in a widespread pattern, policy, and practice of violating the FLSA and NJWHL, as described in this Complaint.
23. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees, were performing their duties for the benefit of and on behalf of Defendants.
24. This cause of action is brought to recover from Defendants, overtime compensation, liquidated damages, and the costs and reasonable attorneys' fees under the provisions of 29 U.S.C. §216(b), as well as applicable provisions of NJWHL, on behalf of Plaintiff and all other current and former employees similarly situated during the material time.
25. The records, if any, concerning the number of hours worked by Plaintiff and all other similarly situated employees, are in the possession and custody of Defendants.
26. The records, if any, concerning the compensation actually paid to Plaintiff and all other similarly situated employees, are in the possession and custody of Defendants.
27. At all times pertinent to this complaint, Defendants failed to comply with Title 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-209, as well as applicable provisions of the NJWHL, in that Plaintiff performed services and labor for Defendants for which Defendants made no provision to pay Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees compensation to which they were lawfully entitled for all of their hours worked and for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) within a work week.

28. The additional persons who may become Plaintiffs in this action are Defendants' non-exempt employees who were not properly compensated for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in one or more work periods, on or after March 20, 2015.

29. Plaintiff has retained the law office of Jaffe Glenn Law Group, P.A. to represent him individually and incurred attorneys' fees and costs in bringing this action. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), Plaintiff is entitled to recovery of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

COUNT I
RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION
PURSUANT TO THE FLSA

30. Plaintiff re-alleges, and incorporates here by reference, all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 29 above.

31. Plaintiffs are entitled to be paid additional compensation for each overtime hour worked per work week.

32. Defendants knowingly and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff at time and one half of his regular rate of pay for his overtime hours worked in a work week.

33. All similarly situated employees of the Defendants are also owed overtime pay for each and every overtime hour they worked and were not properly paid.

34. By reason of the said intentional, willful, and unlawful acts of Defendants, Plaintiff and those similarly situated employees have suffered damages plus incurring costs and reasonable attorneys' fees.

35. As a result of Defendants' willful violations of the Act, Plaintiff and those similarly situated employees are entitled to liquidated damages.

COUNT II
RECOVERY OF OVERTIME WAGES
PURSUANT TO THE NJWHL

36. Plaintiff re-alleges, and incorporates here by reference, all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 35 above.

37. Defendants' aforementioned conduct is in violation of the NJWHL.

38. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff and those similarly situated employees suffered damages, including but not limited to past lost earnings.

JURY TRIAL

39. Plaintiff and similarly situated employees demand a jury trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, TRANQUILINO VILLANEUVA, and those similarly situated employees, demand judgment, against Defendants GOLDEN FARM CORP. and KI R. CHUNG, individually, for the payment of compensation for all overtime hours due them, for which they have not been properly compensated, liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit, and for all other appropriate relief.

Dated: January 20, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

/s Andrew I. Glenn
Andrew I. Glenn
E-mail: AGlenn@JaffeGlenn.com
New Jersey Bar No.: 026491992
Jodi J. Jaffe
E-mail: JJaffe@JaffeGlenn.com
New Jersey Bar No.: 022351993
JAFFE GLENN LAW GROUP, P.A.
301 N. Harrison Street, Ste9F,#306
Princeton, New Jersey 08540
Telephone: (201) 687-9977
Facsimile: (201) 595-0308
Attorneys for Plaintiff